

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES LYNN O'HINES,

Plaintiff,

No. C 09-2919 PJH (PR)

vs.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVIDISON, et al.,

Defendants.

JAMES LYNN O'HINES,

Plaintiff,

No. C 09-2928 PJH (PR)

vs.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

COLO CLARK FARMS USA, et al.,

Defendants.

JAMES LYNN O'HINES,

Plaintiff,

No. C 09-2929 PJH (PR)

vs.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

CALIFORNIA STATE ATTORNEY
GENERAL'S OFFICE, et al.,

Defendants.

JAMES LYNN O'HINES,

Plaintiff,

No. C 09-2930 PJH (PR)

vs.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

MOORS, et al.,

Defendants.

JAMES LYNN O'HINES,
Plaintiff,

No. C 09-2920 PJH (PR)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

vs.

SAN DIEGO POLICE DEPARTMENT,
et al.,
_____ /

These are civil rights cases filed pro se by a prisoner housed in Florence, Arizona. On the day the cases were opened the clerk informed him that he had not paid the filing fee or applied for leave to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP"). Plaintiff was informed that if he did not either pay the fees or file IFP applications within thirty days the cases would be dismissed. Plaintiff has filed IFP applications, but none are supported by the printout of transactions in his inmate account required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) and the instructions on the form.

Because plaintiff has not paid the filing fees for these cases, and because his IFP applications do not comply with the statutory requirement and the court's instructions, they are **DISMISSED** without prejudice. The clerk shall close these files.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 11, 2009.



PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
United States District Judge